

Exact n -Widths of Hardy–Sobolev Classes

K. Yu. Osipenko

Abstract. Let $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ and $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ denote those 2π -periodic, real-valued functions on \mathbb{R} that are analytic in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} z| < \beta$ and satisfy the restrictions $|\operatorname{Re} f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$ and $|f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$, respectively. We determine the Kolmogorov, linear, and Gel'fand widths of $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ in $L_q[0, 2\pi]$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ in $L_\infty[0, 2\pi]$.

Introduction

The Kolmogorov n -width of a subset A of a normed linear space X is defined by

$$d_n(A, X) := \inf_{X_n} \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in X_n} \|x - y\|,$$

where X_n runs over all n -dimensional subspaces of X . The linear n -width of A in X is defined by

$$\lambda_n(A, X) := \inf_{P_n} \sup_{x \in A} \|x - P_n x\|,$$

where P_n varies over all bounded linear operators mapping X into itself whose range has dimension n or less. The Gel'fand n -width is given by

$$d^n(A, X) := \inf_{X^n} \sup_{x \in A \cap X^n} \|x\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces X^n of X of codimension n (here we assume that $0 \in A$).

Set $S_\beta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < \beta\}$. For integer $r \geq 0$ denote by $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ ($\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$) the set of 2π -periodic functions, real-valued on \mathbb{R} and analytic in the strip S_β which satisfy the conditions $|\operatorname{Re} f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$ ($|f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$). For $r = 0$ we shall omit the upper index in the notation of these classes. In this paper we determine the exact values of the Kolmogorov, linear, and Gel'fand widths of $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ in $L_q := L_q[0, 2\pi]$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ in L_∞ . We also show that the n -widths of the subset

Date received: November ??, 1993; Date revised: July ??, 1995; Communicated by ? ?.

AMS classification: 41A46, 30D55

Key words and phrases: Hardy–Sobolev class, n -width, bounded analytic functions

of analytic functions on $[0, 2\pi)$ from the Sobolev class \widetilde{W}_∞^r are the same as for \widetilde{W}_∞^r .

For $q = \infty$ the n -widths of the class $\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}$ were obtained by V. M. Tikhomirov [11]. For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ the exact values of the even n -widths of the classes $\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}$ were determined in [9] and [7]. In the nonperiodic case for the functions which are analytic on the open unit disk, real-valued on $(-1, 1)$, and which satisfy the restriction $|f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$, the n -widths were obtained in [4]. The results concerning the n -widths of the classes of analytic functions of several variables whose r th radial derivative is bounded may be found in [3] and [8].

1. Exact n -Widths of $\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}^r$

If $f \in \tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}$, then (see [1, p. 269])

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\beta(z-t) \operatorname{Re} f(t+i\beta) dt,$$

where

$$K_\beta(z) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos kz}{\cosh k\beta}.$$

Set

$$(f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z-t)g(t) dt,$$

$$D_r(t) := 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kt - \pi r/2)}{k^r}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using the representation

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt + (D_r * f^{(r)})(z),$$

for $r \geq 1$ we have

$$\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}^r = \{ a + G_{r, \beta} * h : \|h\|_\infty \leq 1, h \perp 1, a \in \mathbb{R} \},$$

where $G_{r, \beta} := D_r * K_\beta$.

We say that a real, 2π -periodic, continuous function G satisfies Property B (cf. [10, p. 129]) if for every choice of $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m < 2\pi$ and each m , the subspace

$$X_m := \left\{ b + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j G(\cdot - t_j) : \sum_{j=1}^m b_j = 0 \right\}$$

is of dimension m , and for every $f \in X_{2m+1}$, $f \not\equiv 0$, the number of cyclic sign changes $S_c(f) \leq 2m$.

Set

$$\Lambda_{2n} := \{ \xi : \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n}), \ 0 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{2n} < 2\pi \}.$$

For each $\xi \in \Lambda_{2n}$ we define

$$h_\xi(t) := (-1)^j, \quad t \in [\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1,$$

where $\xi_0 := 0$, $\xi_{2n+1} := 2\pi$. Denote by $h_n(t)$ the function h_ξ for $\xi_j = (j-1)\pi/n$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$.

To calculate the exact n -widths of $\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}^r$ we need the following theorem of A. Pinkus [10, p. 180, 182].

Theorem 1. *Let G satisfy Property B. Set*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty := \{ a + G * h : \|h\|_\infty \leq 1, \ h \perp 1, \ a \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Then:

- (i) $d_{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty, L_\infty) = d^{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty, L_\infty) = \|G * h_n\|_\infty$;
- (ii) for each $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\infty, L_q) = \|G * h_n\|_q.$$

We say that a real, 2π -periodic, continuous function $\mathcal{K} \in NCV D$ (nondegenerate cyclic variation diminishing) if $S_c(\mathcal{K} * f) \leq S_c(f)$ for all real, 2π -periodic, piecewise continuous functions f , and

$$\dim \text{span} \{ \mathcal{K}(t_1 - \cdot), \dots, \mathcal{K}(t_n - \cdot) \} = n$$

for every choice of $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n < 2\pi$ and all n . It is known (see [10, p. 128, 133]) that $K_\beta \in NCV D$ and for each $r \geq 2$, D_r satisfies Property B ($D_1(x)$ also satisfies all conditions of Property B except that it is not continuous at $x = 0$). Therefore, $G_{r, \beta}$ satisfies Property B for every $r \geq 1$.

The Euler perfect splines are defined by

$$\varphi_{n,r}(t) := \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt - \pi r/2)}{(2k+1)^{r+1}}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$

Several properties of this splines may be found, for example, in [6, p. 104]. In particular,

$$\varphi_{n,0} = h_n, \quad \varphi_{n,r} = D_r * h_n, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

Put

$$\varphi_{n,r}^\beta(t) := (K_\beta * \varphi_{n,r})(t) = \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt - \pi r/2)}{(2k+1)^{r+1} \cosh((2k+1)n\beta)}.$$

It was proved in [9] that

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_{n,0}^\beta(t) = (K_\beta * h_n)(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} \arctan \left(\sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left(\frac{2nA}{\pi} t, \lambda \right) \right),$$

where A is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with modulus

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda = 4e^{-2\beta n} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-4\beta n k(k+1)}}{1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-4\beta n k^2}} \right)^2.$$

By analogy to the Euler perfect splines it can be shown that

$$K_{n,r}^\beta := \|\varphi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty = \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^{r+1} \cosh((2k+1)n\beta)}.$$

For $r \geq 1$, $\varphi_{n,r}^\beta = G_{r,\beta} * h_n$. Thus from Theorem 1 ($r \geq 1$) and Theorems 4.8 and 4.9 of [10, p. 179, 180] ($r = 0$) we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let $r \geq 0$. Then:*

- (i) $d_{2n-1}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n-1}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = K_{n,r}^\beta$;
- (ii) for each $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) = \|\varphi_{n,r}^\beta\|_q.$$

For integer $r \geq 1$ denote by \widetilde{W}_∞^r the class of real, 2π -periodic functions whose $(r-1)$ st derivative is absolutely continuous and whose r th derivative satisfies the condition $|f^{(r)}(t)| \leq 1$. Let \tilde{A}_∞^r be the set of functions from \widetilde{W}_∞^r which are analytic on $[0, 2\pi)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $r \geq 1$. Then:*

- (i)

$$(1.3) \quad d_{2n-1}(\tilde{A}_\infty^r, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\tilde{A}_\infty^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n-1}(\tilde{A}_\infty^r, L_\infty) = \frac{K_r}{n^r},$$

where

$$K_r := \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^{r+1}};$$

- (ii) for each $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$(1.4) \quad d_{2n}(\tilde{A}_\infty^r, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{A}_\infty^r, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{A}_\infty^r, L_q) = \|\varphi_{n,r}\|_q.$$

Proof. For the class \widetilde{W}_∞^r the equations analogous to (1.3) and (1.4) are a well-known fact (the details and references may be found in [10] and [6]). Since $\tilde{A}_\infty^r \subset \widetilde{W}_\infty^r$ it is sufficient to prove the lower bound. For all $\beta > 0$, $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r \subset \tilde{A}_\infty^r$. Therefore, the lower bound follows from Theorem 2 and the obvious equations

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} K_{n,r}^\beta = \frac{K_r}{n^r}, \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi_{n,r}^\beta\|_q = \|\varphi_{n,r}\|_q.$$

The theorem is proved. \square

2. Exact n -Widths of $\widetilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$

To calculate the exact n -widths of $\widetilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ we shall need some preliminary results.

Proposition 4. *Let φ be a continuous, odd, and strictly increasing function defined on $[-1, 1]$. Put*

$$A_{2n}^\varphi := \{ \xi \in A_{2n} : \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi) \perp 1 \}.$$

Then

$$\inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_\infty : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in A_{2n}^\varphi \} = \|D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in A_{2n}^\varphi$. Set

$$f_\xi := D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi), \quad f_n := D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n).$$

Suppose that there exists an $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a $\xi \in A_{2n}^\varphi$ for which $\|a + f_\xi\|_\infty < \|f_n\|_\infty$. As $f_n(t + \pi/n) = -f_n(t)$ there exist at least $2n$ points $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_{2n} < 2\pi$ such that

$$f_n(t_j) = \varepsilon(-1)^j \|f_n\|_\infty, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1 . Denote by $Z(f)$ the number of distinct zeros of a function f on $[0, 2\pi)$. We have

$$Z(f_n(\cdot + \alpha) \pm a \pm f_\xi(\cdot)) \geq 2n$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By Rolle's Theorem

$$S_c(f_n^{(r)}(\cdot + \alpha) \pm f_\xi^{(r)}(\cdot)) = S_c(\varphi((K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot + \alpha)) \pm \varphi((K_\beta * h_\xi)(\cdot))) \geq 2n.$$

Since φ is an odd and strictly increasing function,

$$S_c((K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot + \alpha) \pm (K_\beta * h_\xi)(\cdot)) \geq 2n.$$

From the fact that $K_\beta \in NCVD$ it follows that

$$S_c(h_n(\cdot + \alpha) \pm h_\xi(\cdot)) \geq 2n.$$

Using Lemma 4.1 of [10, p. 170], we obtain the existence of an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1 for which

$$S_c(h_n(\cdot + \alpha) - \varepsilon h_\xi(\cdot)) \leq 2(n-1).$$

This contradiction proves the proposition. \square

Set $\varphi_0(z) := \tan \frac{\pi}{4} z$,

$$\Phi_{n,0}^\beta := \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n), \quad \Phi_{n,r}^\beta := D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

In view of (1.1) and the representation (see [2, p. 266])

$$\operatorname{sn} \left(\frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right) = \frac{\pi}{\lambda\Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt)}{\sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}$$

we have

$$\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}\Lambda n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt - \pi r/2)}{(2k+1)^r \sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$

It also follows from (1.1) that

$$\Phi_{n,0}^\beta(t) = \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left(\frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right).$$

Using the same arguments as for $\varphi_{n,r}^\beta$, we obtain

$$\|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}\Lambda n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^r \sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}.$$

Put

$$t_{n,r}^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \frac{\pi(j-1)}{n}, & r = 2m, \\ \frac{\pi(j-1)}{n} + \frac{\pi}{2n}, & r = 2m+1, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n.$$

It is easily seen that $\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t_{n,r}^{(j)}) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$.

Proposition 5. For all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $r \geq 0$

$$\sup \left\{ |f(t)| : f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, f(t_{n,r}^{(j)}) = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n \right\} = |\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t)|.$$

Proof. Suppose there exists a $t^* \in [0, 2\pi)$ and a function $f_0 \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ for which $f_0(t_{n,r}^{(j)}) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$, and $|f_0(t^*)| > |\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t^*)|$. Set

$$\rho := \Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t^*)/f_0(t^*), \quad F := \Phi_{n,r}^\beta - \rho f_0.$$

The function F has at least $2n+1$ distinct zeros at the points $t_{n,r}^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$, and t^* . By Rolle's Theorem

$$F^{(r)}(t) = \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left(\frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right) - \rho f_0^{(r)}(t)$$

has at least $2n+1$ zeros on $[0, 2\pi)$.

Denote by $H_\infty(\Delta_\beta)$ the set of functions which are analytic on the annulus

$$\Delta_\beta := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-\beta} < |z| < e^\beta \}$$

and which satisfy the condition $|f(z)| \leq 1$, $z \in \Delta_\beta$. If $f(z) \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}$, then $f(\frac{1}{i} \log z) \in H_\infty(\Delta_\beta)$. It is easy to check that the function

$$G(z) := \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left(\frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi i} \log z, \lambda \right)$$

has exactly $2n$ zeros on Δ_β . Since on $\partial\Delta_\beta$

$$|G(z) - F^{(r)}(\frac{1}{i} \log z)| = |\rho f_0^{(r)}(\frac{1}{i} \log z)| \leq |\rho| < 1 \equiv |G(z)|,$$

Rouche's Theorem implies that $F^{(r)}(t)$ has $2n$ or fewer zeros on $[0, 2\pi)$. We thus reach a contradiction, which proves the proposition. \square

Theorem 6. *For all integers $r \geq 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) &= \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}\Lambda n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^r \sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where Λ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with modulus λ defined by (1.2).

Proof. The case $r = 0$ follows from [7] where the equalities

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \|\Phi_{n,0}^\beta\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty,$$

were proved. So we shall assume that $r \geq 1$.

We shall first prove the lower bound for the Kolmogorov widths. Set

$$\begin{aligned} S^{2n} &:= \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} |x_k| = 2\pi \right\}, \\ \tau_0(x) &:= 0, \quad \tau_j(x) := \sum_{k=1}^j |x_k|, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1. \end{aligned}$$

For each $x \in S^{2n}$ put

$$\begin{aligned} g_x(t) &:= \operatorname{sign} x_j, \quad \tau_{j-1}(x) \leq t < \tau_j(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1, \\ f_x &:= D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * g_x). \end{aligned}$$

Let X_{2n} be any $2n$ -dimensional subspace of L_q , $1 < q < \infty$, such that $1 \in X_{2n}$. Suppose that $X_{2n} = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{2n}\}$ and $f_1(t) \equiv 1$. Let $a_1(x), \dots, a_{2n}(x)$ be

the coefficients of f_1, \dots, f_{2n} , respectively, in the best approximation to f_x from X_{2n} . The mapping

$$A(x) := (b(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_{2n}(x)),$$

where

$$b(x) := \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_0((K_\beta * g_x)(t)) dt,$$

is a continuous map of S^{2n} into \mathbb{R}^{2n} . By Borsuk's Theorem there exists an $x^* \in S^{2n}$ for which $A(x^*) = 0$. As the function $\varphi_0(z) = \tan \frac{\pi}{4} z$ maps the strip $|\operatorname{Re} z| < 1$ conformally onto the open unit disk, for all $x \in S^{2n}$, $f_x \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad & \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \inf_{g \in X_{2n}} \|f - g\|_q \geq \sup_{x \in S^{2n}} \inf_{g \in X_{2n}} \|f_x - g\|_q \geq \|f_{x^*} - a_1(x^*)\|_q \\ & \geq \inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_q : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^{\varphi_0} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \notin X_{2n}$, then the left-hand side of (2.5) is equal to $+\infty$. Hence,

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_q) \geq \inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_q : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^{\varphi_0} \}.$$

By passing to the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_\infty) \geq \|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty.$$

Let us now prove the lower bound for the Gel'fand widths. Suppose that

$$X^{2n} := \{ f \in L_\infty : \langle l_j, f \rangle = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n, l_j \in L'_\infty \}.$$

If $\langle l_j, 1 \rangle = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n$, then

$$\sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_\infty = \infty.$$

Assume that $\langle l_1, 1 \rangle \neq 0$. Set

$$L_j := l_j - \frac{\langle l_j, 1 \rangle}{\langle l_1, 1 \rangle} l_1, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n.$$

For each $x \in S^{2n}$ denote by A_1 the mapping

$$A_1(x) := (b(x), \langle L_2, f_x \rangle, \dots, \langle L_{2n}, f_x \rangle).$$

Since $A_1: S^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is an odd and continuous map, by Borsuk's Theorem there exists an x^* for which $A_1(x^*) = 0$. Then

$$f^* := f_{x^*} - \frac{\langle l_1, f_{x^*} \rangle}{\langle l_1, 1 \rangle} \in X^{2n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_{\infty} &\geq \|f^*\|_{\infty} \\ &\geq \inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi_0(K_{\beta} * h_{\xi})\|_{\infty} : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^{\varphi_0} \} \\ &\geq \|\Phi_{n,r}^{\beta}\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) \geq \|\Phi_{n,r}^{\beta}\|_{\infty}.$$

Now let us prove the upper bound for the linear widths. We shall use a modification of the proof for the nonperiodic case from [4]. Denote by E_p the set of functions 2π -periodic and analytic on S_{β} which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{E_p} &:= \sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |f(\rho z)|^p |dz| \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{E_{\infty}} &:= \sup_{z \in S_{\beta}} |f(z)| < \infty, \quad p = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma := [2\pi + i\beta, i\beta] \cup [-i\beta, 2\pi - i\beta]$. If the 2π -periodic functions $\omega(z), \omega_1(z) \in C(\Gamma)$ then, using the mapping $z = \frac{1}{i} \log w$, it can be proved (see [5]) that for all $1 < p \leq \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad &\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \omega(z) dz \right| : \|f\|_{E_p} \leq 1, \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \omega_1(z) dz = 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |\omega(z) - c\omega_1(z) - \chi(z)|^q |dz| \right)^{1/q} : c \in \mathbb{C}, \chi \in E_q \right\} \\ &= \|\omega\|_{L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $E_{q,1} := E_q|_{\Gamma} + \text{span}\{\omega_1\}$, and $E_{q,1}$ is the space of boundary values on Γ of functions from E_q .

By the same mapping $z = \frac{1}{i} \log w$ and the Residue Theorem we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z) e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{it}} dz, \quad t \in S_{\beta},$$

for all $f \in E_{\infty}$. For $x, t_1 \in [0, 2\pi)$ we define

$$K(z, x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{D_r(x-t) e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{it}} dt, \quad V(z, x) := K(z, x) - K(z, t_1).$$

From (2.7) it follows that all functions 2π -periodic and analytic on S_{β} whose r th derivative lies in E_{∞} satisfy the following equation

$$(2.8) \quad f(x) - f(t_1) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} V(z, x) f^{(r)}(z) dz.$$

Set

$$\omega_1(z) := \begin{cases} 1, & z \in [2\pi + i\beta, i\beta], \\ 0, & z \in [-i\beta, 2\pi - i\beta]. \end{cases}$$

Then the condition $f \perp 1$ can be written in the form

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) \omega_1(z) dz = 0.$$

For distinct points $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2n} \in [0, 2\pi)$ put

$$D_q(x) := \inf_{c_2, \dots, c_{2n}} \left\| V(\cdot, x) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j V(\cdot, t_j) \right\|_{L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}}.$$

Since $L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}$ is uniformly convex for $1 < q < \infty$ there are continuous functions on $[0, 2\pi]$, $c_2(x), \dots, c_{2n}(x)$, such that

$$D_q(x) = \left\| V(\cdot, x) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(x) V(\cdot, t_j) \right\|_{L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}}.$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} D_q(x) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left(V(z, \cdot) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(\cdot) V(z, t_j) \right) f(z) dz \right\|_{\infty} : \|f\|_{E_p} \leq 1, f \perp 1 \right\} \\ &\geq \sup_{\|f^{(r)}\|_{E_{\infty}} \leq 1} \left\| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left(V(z, \cdot) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(\cdot) V(z, t_j) \right) f^{(r)}(z) dz \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\geq \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left\| f(\cdot) - f(t_1) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(\cdot) (f(t_j) - f(t_1)) \right\|_{\infty} \geq \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

The function D_q is continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, and $D_q \searrow D_1$ uniformly as $q \searrow 1$. Letting q decrease to 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) \leq \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} D_1(x) \\ &= \left\| \inf_{c_2, \dots, c_{2n}} \sup_{\|f^{(r)}\|_{E_{\infty}} \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left(V(z, \cdot) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j V(z, t_j) \right) f^{(r)}(z) dz \right| \right\|_{\infty} \\ &= \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \sigma(x) := \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} V(z, x) f^{(r)}(z) dz \right| : \|f^{(r)}\|_{E_\infty} \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \int_{\Gamma} V(z, t_j) f^{(r)}(z) dz = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n \right\}.$$

Using the same methods as in [5], it can be shown that the solution of (2.9) is unique up to a factor $e^{i\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By (2.8) we have

$$\sigma(x) = \sup \left\{ |f(x) - f(t_1)| : \|f^{(r)}\|_{E_\infty} \leq 1, \quad f(t_j) - f(t_1) = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n \right\}.$$

Therefore, if $f^*(z)$ is a solution of (2.9), then $\overline{f^*(\bar{z})}$ is also a solution of (2.9). Consequently, there exists an extremal function which is real on \mathbb{R} . Thus,

$$\sigma(x) = \inf_{c_2, \dots, c_{2n}} \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left| f(x) - f(t_1) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j (f(t_j) - f(t_1)) \right|.$$

Put

$$\sigma_1(x) := \inf_{c_1, \dots, c_{2n}} \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j f(t_j) \right|.$$

Obviously, $\sigma_1(x) \leq \sigma(x)$. On the other hand, if $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j \neq 1$, then

$$\sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j f(t_j) \right| \geq \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left| c \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j \right) \right| = \infty.$$

Hence, $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma(x)$. Now we have

$$\lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_\infty) \leq \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \sigma_1(x) \\ = \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, \quad f(t_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n \right\}.$$

For $t_j = t_{n,r}^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$, it follows from Proposition 5 that

$$\lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_\infty) \leq \|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty.$$

Since $\lambda_{2n} \geq d_{2n}$ and $\lambda_{2n} \geq d^{2n}$ we obtain

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_\infty) = \|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty.$$

The theorem is proved. \square

Acknowledgment. The research was supported in part by Grant 93-01-00237 from Russian Foundation of Fundamental Research and by Grant MP 1300 from the ISF&RG.

References

1. Akhiezer, N. I.: Lectures on the Theory of Approximation. Nauka, Moscow 1965
2. Akhiezer, N. I.: Elements of the Theory of Elliptic Functions. Nauka, Moscow 1970
3. Farkov, Yu. A.: The N -widths of Hardy-Sobolev spaces of several complex variables. J. Approx. Theory **75** (1993) 183–197
4. Fisher, S. D.: Envelopes, widths, and Landau problems for analytic functions. Constr. Approx. **5** (1989) 171–187
5. Khavinson, S. Ya.: Two Papers on Extremal Problems in Complex Analysis. Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Ser. 2, No. 129 1985
6. Korneichuk, N. P.: Exact Constants in the Theory of Approximation. Nauka, Moscow 1987
7. Osipenko, K. Yu.: On n -widths, optimal quadrature formulas, and optimal recovery of functions analytic in a strip. Izv. Russian Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **58** (1994) 55–79
8. Osipenko, K. Yu.: On N -widths of holomorphic functions of several variables. J. Approx. Theory (to appear)
9. Osipenko, K. Yu.: Exact values of n -widths and optimal quadratures on classes of bounded analytic and harmonic functions. J. Approx. Theory (to appear)
10. Pinkus, A.: n -Widths in Approximation Theory. Berlin, Springer-Verlag 1985
11. Tikhomirov, V. M.: Diameters of sets in function spaces and the theory of best approximations. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **15** (1960) 81–120; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys **15** (1960) 75–111

K. Yu. Osipenko
 Department of Mathematics
 Moscow State University of Aviation Technology
 Petrovka 27
 Moscow 103767, Russia